



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/715,162

11/17/2003

Vesa Metsatahti

042933/269514

4105

826

7590

03/31/2008

ALSTON & BIRD LLP

BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA

101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000

CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000

EXAMINER

SAEED, USMAAN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2166

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/31/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/715,162	<b>Applicant(s)</b> METSATAHTI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> USMAAN SAEED	<b>Art Unit</b> 2166	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/03/2008</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Amendment***

1. Receipt of Applicant's Amendment, filed 12/19/2007 is acknowledged.  
Claims 1-14 and 16-17 have been amended.

### ***Double Patenting***

2. The provisional non-statutory double patenting rejection will be held in abeyance until such time as a patent issues based upon one of these applications, at which time a substantive response will be provided if the rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is maintained.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-11 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rothmuller et al. (Rothmuller hereinafter)** (International Pub No. WO 02/057959 A2) in view of **Parker et al. (Parker hereinafter)** (U.S. Patent No. 2003/0009493).

With respect to claim 1, **Rothmuller** teaches **a computer program product comprising a computer readable storage medium having computer-readable program instructions embodied in the medium, the computer-readable program instructions comprising:**

**“first instructions configured, when executed, to generate a media view”** as figure 1, Image area 100 provides a media view (**Rothmuller** Figure 1) **“that provides access to digital media files and associates digital media files with a predefined time”** as when the objects to be search for are photos, these search criteria can include, but are not limited to, the date and time the photos were taken, textual information that is associated with the photos such as the names of the people who are in the photos or the places or events where the photos were taken, designations of the photos as favorite photos, and designation of the photos as photos that have been printed, shared with others, or archived on a certain date (**Rothmuller** Page 2, Lines 13-19). These media files are being accessed based on the association of these media files with respect to their data and time.

**“second instructions configured, when executed, to generate a time bar that divides time into segments, each segment of time depends upon the amount of media files associated with the respective segment of time”** as the temporal metadata associated with the photos can be used to present a histogram of photos in the form of a timeline 250 shown in fig 1. The timeline 250 can show the number of photos take as function of time over some period of time that can range from the time the first photo in the database was taken to the present. The timeline 250 can be used by itself, or with other tags 350 to specify the criteria used to search for matching photos. The timeline includes adjustable time bands 251 that can be moved to allow timeline 250 to specify the time period that is used to find matching photos (**Rothmuller** Page 7, Lines 27-31 & Page 8, Lines 1-3). Examiner interprets the segments as time bands having a size/time period and media files associated with that size.

The timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan. 1, 2000 and Feb. 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10 & figure 1 and 3). In figure 3 there are segments of time and their size depends upon the amount of files for that time segment.

**Rothmuller** teaches the elements of claim 1 as noted above but does not explicitly teaches **“bar divided into segments of unit time and having length along the time bar.”**

However, **Parker** teaches **“bar divided into segments of unit time and having length along the time bar”** as the number of histogram bins that can be clearly

Art Unit: 2166

displayed and the desired start and end date of the histogram timeline, new date bin sizes are calculated. In step 238, a new histogram timeline is generated with the modified bin sizes. Finally, in step 240, the modified histogram timeline with more visible bin size is displayed (**Parker** Paragraph 0048). Parker's timeline is being divided into units of time as being days, months, or years.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Parker's** teaching would have allowed **Rothmuller** to provide an effective method for organizing representations of digital multimedia objects to facilitate the selection of desired digital multimedia objects by selecting a portion of the histogram timeline for viewing such thumbnail representations of visual digital objects corresponding to such selected portion.

These references disclose the claimed invention except for segments of time having length along time bar (horizontally) which depends on the amount of files associated with that length. Prior art teaches vertical bars, where bars represent the amount of media files associated with that time unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical graphs for a specific time segment to horizontal graph along the time bar, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens. 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Further, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical graphs for a specific time segment to

horizontal graph along the time bar, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

With respect to claim 2, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 1, wherein the second instructions configured to generate a time bar include instructions configured to generates selectable segments of unit time”** as the timeline includes adjustable time bands 251 that can be moved to allow timeline 250 to specify the time period that is used to find matching photos (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 2-3). Examiner interprets selectable as adjustable.

With respect to claim 3, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 1, wherein the second instructions configured to generate a time bar include instructions configured to generate segments of unit time periods chosen from the group consisting of a year, a month, a week and a day”** as the timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan 1, 2000 and Feb 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10).

Claim 14 is essentially the same as claim 3 except it recites the claimed invention as a method and is rejected for the same reasons as applied herein above.

With respect to claim 4 **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 1, wherein the second instructions configured to generate a time bar include instructions configured to generate a segment of unit time that indicates the amount of media files in the time segment”** as the temporal metadata associated with the photos can be used to present a histogram of photos in the form of a timeline 250 shown in fig 1. The timeline 250 can show the number of photos take as function of time over some period of time that can rage from the time the first photo in the database was taken to the present. The timeline 250 can be used by itself, or with other tags 350 to specify the criteria used to search for matching photos. The timeline includes adjustable time bands 251 that can be moved to allow timeline 250 to specify the time period that is used to find matching photos (**Rothmuller** Page 7, Lines 27-31 & Page 8, Lines 1-3).

With respect to claim 5, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 4, wherein the second instructions configured to generate a time bar includes instructions configured to generate a segment of time that indicates the amount of media items in the segment based on the size of the segment”** as the temporal metadata associated with the photos can be used to present a histogram of photos in the form of a timeline 250 shown in fig 1. The timeline 250 can show the number of photos take as function of time over some period of time that can rage from the time the first photo in the database was taken to the present. The timeline 250 can



be used by itself, or with other tags 350 to specify the criteria used to search for matching photos. The timeline includes adjustable time bands 251 that can be moved to allow timeline 250 to specify the time period that is used to find matching photos (**Rothmuller** Page 7, Lines 27-31 & Page 8, Lines 1-3).

With respect to claim 6, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 4, wherein the second instructions configured to generate a time bar includes instructions configured to generate a segment of time that indicates the amount of media items in the segment unit based on the color of the segment”** as when tag searches are conducted in conjunction with timeline 250, the timeline displays the total number of photos in the database per unit time period in a first color which may be a solid color, and the total number of photos in the database that match the tagged search criteria as “best” or “close” matches in a second color which may be a hatched pattern or color (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 21-26).

With respect to claim 7, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 1, wherein the second instructions configured to generate a time bar additionally includes instructions configured to generate a time handle that allows for periods of time to be scrolled”** as figure 1 and figure 3, which shows the time handle/arrows to scroll the time periods (**Rothmuller** Figure 1 & 3).

With respect to claim 8, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 1, wherein the first instructions further include instructions configured to generate digital media files with a predefined time based upon information associated with the digital media file”** as when the objects to be search for are photos, these search criteria can include, but are not limited to, the date and time the photos were taken, textual information that is associated with the photos such as the names of the people who are in the photos or the places or events where the photos were taken, designations of the photos as favorite photos, and designation of the photos as photos that have been printed, shared with others, or archived on a certain date (**Rothmuller** Page 2, Lines 13-19). These media files are being accessed based on the association of these media files with respect to their data and time.

Claim 15 is essentially the same as claim 15 except it recites the claimed invention as a method and is rejected for the same reasons as applied herein above.

With respect to claim 9, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the computer program product of claim 1, further including third instructions configured to generate a calendar view that represents time in calendar format and associates events with respective periods of time”** as in addition to timelines, the temporal distribution of objects in the database can be represented in a calendar view such that the days of the calendar indicate the number of objects having metadata associated with a given day of

the week in a given week of the month (**Rothmuller** Page 3, Lines 10-16). In addition tags in the events category can include pre-defined calendar event such as New Years Eve, and customized calendar events such as birthdays and anniversaries (**Rothmuller** Page 5, Lines 21-23).

With respect to claim 10, **Rothmuller** teaches “**the computer program product of claim 9, wherein the first instructions configured to generate a media view that provides access to digital media files and associates digital media files with a predefined time, associates digital media files with a past predefined time and wherein the third instructions for generating a calendar view that represents time in calendar format and associates events with respective periods of time, associates events with respective future periods of time**” as figure 1, Image area 100 provides a media view (**Rothmuller** Figure 1). When the objects to be search for are photos, these search criteria can include, but are not limited to, the date and time the photos were taken, textual information that is associated with the photos such as the names of the people who are in the photos or the places or events where the photos were taken, designations of the photos as favorite photos, and designation of the photos as photos that have been printed, shared with others, or archived on a certain date (**Rothmuller** Page 2, Lines 13-19). These media files are being accessed based on the association of these media files with respect to their data and time.

In addition to timelines, the temporal distribution of objects in the database can be represented in a calendar view such that the days of the calendar indicate the

number of objects having metadata associated with a given day of the week in a given week of the month (**Rothmuller** Page 3, Lines 10-16). In addition tags in the events category can include pre-defined calendar event such as New Years Eve, and customized calendar events such as birthdays and anniversaries (**Rothmuller** Page 5, Lines 21-23).

With respect to claim 11, **Rothmuller** teaches **an apparatus comprising:**

**“a processing unit that executes computer-readable program instructions embodied in a computer readable storage medium, the computer-readable program instructions comprising”** as a programmable processor executing a program of instruction to perform functions of the invention by operating on input data and generating output (**Rothmuller** Page 12, Lines 19-21).

**“first instructions configured, when executed, to generate a media view”** as figure 1, Image area 100 provides a media view (**Rothmuller** Figure 1) **“that provides access to digital media files and associates digital media files with a predefined time”** as when the objects to be search for are photos, these search criteria can include, but are not limited to, the date and time the photos were taken, textual information that is associated with the photos such as the names of the people who are in the photos or the places or events where the photos were taken, designations of the photos as favorite photos, and designation of the photos as photos that have been printed, shared with others, or archived on a certain date (**Rothmuller** Page 2, Lines 13-19). These media files are being accessed based on the association of these media files with respect to their data and time.

**“second instructions configured, when executed, to generate a time bar that divides time into segments, each segment of time depends upon the amount of media files associated with the respective segment of time”** as the temporal metadata associated with the photos can be used to present a histogram of photos in the form of a timeline 250 shown in fig 1. The timeline 250 can show the number of photos take as function of time over some period of time that can range from the time the first photo in the database was taken to the present. The timeline 250 can be used by itself, or with other tags 350 to specify the criteria used to search for matching photos. The timeline includes adjustable time bands 251 that can be moved to allow timeline 250 to specify the time period that is used to find matching photos (**Rothmuller** Page 7, Lines 27-31 & Page 8, Lines 1-3). Examiner interprets the segments as time bands having a size/time period and media files associated with that size.

The timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan. 1, 2000 and Feb. 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10 & figure 1 and 3). In figure 3 there are segments of time and their size depends upon the amount of files for that time segment.

**Rothmuller** teaches the elements of claim 11 as noted above but does not explicitly teaches **“bar divided into segments of unit time and having length along the time bar.”**

However, **Parker** teaches **“bar divided into segments of unit time and having length along the time bar”** as the number of histogram bins that can be clearly

Art Unit: 2166

displayed and the desired start and end date of the histogram timeline, new date bin sizes are calculated. In step 238, a new histogram timeline is generated with the modified bin sizes. Finally, in step 240, the modified histogram timeline with more visible bin size is displayed (**Parker** Paragraph 0048). Parker's timeline is being divided into units of time as being days, months, or years.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Parker's** teaching would have allowed **Rothmuller** to provide an effective method for organizing representations of digital multimedia objects to facilitate the selection of desired digital multimedia objects by selecting a portion of the histogram timeline for viewing such thumbnail representations of visual digital objects corresponding to such selected portion.

These references disclose the claimed invention except for segments of time having length along time bar (horizontally) which depends on the amount of files associated with that length. Prior art teaches vertical bars, where bars represent the amount of media files associated with that time unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical graphs for a specific time segment to horizontal graph along the time bar, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens. 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Further, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical graphs for a specific time segment to

horizontal graph along the time bar, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

Claim 13 is essentially the same as claim 11 except it recites the claimed invention as a method and is rejected for the same reasons as applied herein above.

With respect to claim 16, **Rothmuller** teaches **a method comprising:**

**“providing the user of a digital device a display of a time bar and a media view that represents media files in association with a predefined time”** as the temporal metadata associated with the photos can be used to present a histogram of photos in the form of a timeline 250 shown in fig 1. The timeline 250 can show the number of photos take as function of time over some period of time that can rage from the time the first photo in the database was taken to the present. The timeline 250 can be used by itself, or with other tags 350 to specify the criteria used to search for matching photos. The timeline includes adjustable time bands 251 that can be moved to allow timeline 250 to specify the time period that is used to find matching photos (**Rothmuller** Page 7, Lines 27-31 & Page 8, Lines 1-3). Figure 1, Image area 100 provides a media view (**Rothmuller** Figure 1).

**“wherein the time bar has one or more time levels, wherein the display of at least one time level is divided into a plurality of segments of time, and wherein**

**the display of each segment of time of the plurality of segments of time of at least one time level is based upon the amount of media files associated with the segment of time**” as the timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan. 1, 2000 and Feb. 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10 & figure 1 and 3). Figure 3 has levels of year's, months, and days. In figure 3 there are segments of time and their size depends upon the amount of files for that time segment.

**“activating one or more time levels of the time bar to display the specific predefined time for which a media file is associated”** as the timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan 1, 2000 and Feb 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10). The time levels of timeline shown in the reference has 2000 as year level, Jan as month level and 1<sup>st</sup> as day level and these levels are being activated to retrieve media files.

**“activating the specific period of time to display a representation of the media file and the associated predefined time”** as the timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan 1, 2000 and Feb 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10).

**“selecting the representation of the media file to access the media file”** as the timeline 250 can be used by itself, or with other tags 350 to specify the criteria used to search for matching photos (**Rothmuller** Page 7, Line 31 & Page 8, Lines 1-2).



**Rothmuller** teaches the elements of claim 16 as noted above but does not explicitly teach **“bar divided into segments of unit time and having length along the time bar.”**

However, **Parker** teaches **“bar divided into segments of unit time and having length along the time bar”** as he number of histogram bins that can be clearly displayed and the desired start and end date of the histogram timeline, new date bin sizes are calculated. In step 238, a new histogram timeline is generated with the modified bin sizes. Finally, in step 240, the modified histogram timeline with more visible bin size is displayed (**Parker** Paragraph 0048). **Parker’s** timeline is being divided into units of time as being days, months, or years.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of the cited references because **Parker’s** teaching would have allowed **Rothmuller** to provide an effective method for organizing representations of digital multimedia objects to facilitate the selection of desired digital multimedia objects by selecting a portion of the histogram timeline for viewing such thumbnail representations of visual digital objects corresponding to such selected portion.

These references disclose the claimed invention except for segments of time having length along time bar (horizontally) which depends on the amount of files associated with that length. Prior art teaches vertical bars, where bars represent the amount of media files associated with that time unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical

graphs for a specific time segment to horizontal graph along the time bar, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Stevens. 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Further, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vertical graphs for a specific time segment to horizontal graph along the time bar, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

With respect to claim 17, **Rothmuller** teaches **“the method of claim 16, wherein the step of activating one or more time levels of the time bar to display the specific predefined time for which a media file is associated further comprises activating one or more time levels of the time bar chosen from the group consisting of month level, week level and day level to display the specific predefined time for which a media file is associated”** as the timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan 1, 2000 and Feb 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10). The time levels of timeline shown in the reference has 2000 as year level, Jan as month level and 1<sup>st</sup> as day level and these levels are being activated to retrieve media files.

With respect to claim 18, **Rothmuller** teaches “**the method of claim 16, wherein the step of activating the specific predefined time to display a representation of the media file and the associated predefined time further comprises activating a specific date to display a representation of the media file and the date**” as the timeline 250 can be used by itself to find all photos taken between Jan 1, 2000 and Feb 28, 2000 by moving the adjustable time bands 251 to these two respective dates (**Rothmuller** Page 8, Lines 8-10).

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rothmuller et al.** (International Pub No. WO 02/057959 A2).

With respect to claim 12, **Rothmuller** teaches “**the digital device of claim 11, the computer-readable program instructions further comprising a third instructions configured to generate a calendar view that represents time in calendar format, associates events with respective periods of time**” as in addition to timelines, the temporal distribution of objects in the database can be represented in a calendar view such that the days of the calendar indicate the number of objects having metadata associated with a given day of the week in a given week of the month (**Rothmuller** Page 3, Lines 10-16). In addition tags in the events category can include pre-defined calendar event such as New Years Eve, and customized calendar events such as birthdays and anniversaries (**Rothmuller** Page 5, Lines 21-23).

**“a display in communication with the processing unit that presents a combined view of the media view and the time bar”** as figure1, which shows the combined view of both the media view and the time bar (Rothmuller Figure 1).

**Rothmuller** teaches the elements of claim 12 as noted above but does not explicitly teach **“and is presented by the display in combination with the media view and the time bar.”**

However, **Rothmuller** teaches **“and is presented by the display in combination with the media view and the time bar”** as figure1, which shows the combined view of both the media view and the time bar (**Rothmuller** Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited reference because these teachings would have provided the display of all the files and events associated with a specific data by the displaying calendar events with combination to media view and time bar, thereby limiting the search time of different files and events.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/19/2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner has withdrawn the 103 rejections of claims over Rothmuller in view of Chao. The new grounds presented in this office action include 103 rejections of claims over Rothmuller in view of Parker..

See above rejections for the arguments.

Claims must be given the broadest reasonable interpretation during examination and limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim (See M.P.E.P. 2111 [R-I]).

### ***Conclusion***

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

***Contact Information***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Usmaan Saeed whose telephone number is (571)272-4046. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571)272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Usmaan Saeed  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit: 2166

Hosain Alam  
Supervisory Patent Examiner

US  
March 25, 2008

/Hosain T Alam/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166